

REMARKS


Applicant has thoroughly considered the Examiner's remarks, and the application has been amended in light thereof. Claims 1-4 and 6-23 are presented in the application for further examination. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 12-15, 18, and 20-22 have been amended by this Amendment A. Claim 5 has been canceled. Appendix A has been deleted. Reconsideration of the application claims as amended and in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested. Applicant submits that the recited invention clearly is distinguishable over the cited art, particularly considering the claim amendments.

Applicant respectfully acknowledges the Examiner's notification of allowable subject matter in claims 5 and 6. In the Office action, the Examiner states that none of the cited art discloses, suggests, nor teaches comparing the user interface attribute value against a pre-defined list of values identifying specific user interfaces. Claims 1, 14, 18, and 21 were amended to more clearly reflect this statement. Claims 2, 3, 6, 12, 13, 15, 20, and 22 were amended in accordance with the Examiner's statements in paragraphs 3-5 of the Office action.

It is felt that a full and complete response has been made to the Office action and, as such, places the application in condition for allowance. Such allowance is hereby respectfully requested. If the Examiner feels, for any reason, that a personal interview will expedite the prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone the undersigned.

If there are any fees associated with this matter, please charge Deposit Account No. 19-1345.

Respectfully submitted,



James J. Barta, Jr., Reg. No. 47,409
SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT & ROEDEL
One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor
St. Louis, Missouri 63102
314/231-5400